

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the outstanding office action is respectfully requested.

The November 18, 2005, personal interview between Examiner Fortuna, Interference Specialist Krynski, Ronald Eisenstein, and applicant's undersigned attorney is gratefully acknowledged. At the interview, the rejections summarized below and applicant's solution for overcoming those rejections, as set forth in the above amendments, were discussed.

The rejection of claims 14-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 (first paragraph) for failure to satisfy the written description requirement is respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments. Support for the term "co-casting" is found in the present application, as filed, at page 6, lines 10-13.

The rejection of claim 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 (second paragraph) for indefiniteness is respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments.

In view of all the foregoing, it is submitted that this case is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 5, 2005



Michael L. Goldman
Registration No. 30,727

NIXON PEABODY LLP
Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051
Rochester, New York 14603-1051
Telephone: (585) 263-1304
Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

Certificate of Mailing - 37 CFR 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below.

12/5/05 | 
Date | Laura L. Trost